

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,982	(07/03/2003	Louis Brown Abrams	4811-17	4357
22442	7590	10/06/2005		EXAM	INER
SHERIDA		PC .	JUSKA, CHI	ERYL ANN	
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER,	CO 80202	2		1771	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	l amiliantian Na	Amaliaaniia
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astrono Communication	10/613,982	ABRAMS, LOUIS BROWN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl Juska	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 13-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22 and 29-34 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-19,23-28 and 35-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	ire withdrawn from consid. If election requirement. If accepted or b) objuiction is required if the drawing of the drawing o	ected to by the Examiner. rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03 - 12/04	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 13-19, 23-28, and 35-40, in the paper filed August 1, 2005, is acknowledged. Claims 20-22 and 29-34 are hereby withdrawn as non-elected.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 28 and 38 are objected to for the use of the units "dtex" to describe the linear density of the flock fibers since the alternative unit of "denier" has been previously recited.
- 3. Claim 38 is also objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim in independent form. Claim 38 is objected to for not further limiting its parent claim since the range of 0.5-20 dtex is broader in scope than the parent claim's range of "no more than about 5" denier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 17, 23, 24, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claim 17 is indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by "at least about 50% fibers/in." Claims 24 and 35 are similarly rejected.
- 7. Claim 23 is indefinite because it is unclear if the phrase "a plurality of fibers located on a substrate" is limited to a flocked substrate or to any fibrous substrate.
- 8. Claim 35 is rejected for the lack of antecedent basis for "the electrically charging step."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by (a) JP 63-118544 issued to Tsukahara et al., (b) JP 05-255021 issued to Morikazu et al., and (c) JP 10-059790 issued to Takagi.

Tsukahara teaches a flocked substrate that is treated with an antimicrobial agent (abstract). Morikazu discloses an antimicrobial composition that can be applied or soaked into a napped substrate such as an electrostatically flocked fabric (abstract). Takagi discloses a flocked facing body having antimicrobial flock thereon. Thus, claim 13 is anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,982 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 14-19, 23-29, 35-38, and 40 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Tsukahara or Morikazu references.
- 13. Claims 14-19, 23-29, and 35-39 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Takagi reference.

While the cited references do not explicitly teach the claimed fiber denier, flock density, or fiber length, these features are held to be obvious over the prior art. Specifically, the denier, density, and length claimed are common to the flock art. As such, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ said denier, density, and length in the amounts recited by Applicant, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, claims 14-19, 23-28, 35-38, and 40 are rejected over the Tsukahara or Morikazu references, while claims 14-19, 23-28, and 35-39 are rejected over the

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,982

Art Unit: 1771

,982 Page 5

examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached

at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

c